

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,700	06/23/2003	Yu-Chen Chuang	10496-US-PA	5739	
31561 7599 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEL 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAIWAN			2191		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/600,700 CHUANG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Satish Rampuria 2191 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-17 and 20-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ 6) Other: Office Action Summary Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20090622

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/03/2009.

Claims cancelled by the applicants: 18 and 19

3. Claims amended by the applicants: 1-11, 15-17, and 22.

Claims 1-17 and 20-25 are pending.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 04/03/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that Vincent fails to disclose the distinguishable feature "the selected user terminal has a first-client server structure and a second client-server structure", as recited in claim 1 of the present application. It is thus submitted the *prima facie* case of obviousness has not yet been established. Moreover, it is believed that neither Zhang nor Harrow remedies the deficiency of Vincent as compared with claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 and its dependent claims 2-8 is respectfully requested.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Vincent discloses a first-client server and a second client-server to communicate the process of upgrading software into client/selected computer (paragraph [0040]-[0042]). Vincent discloses that a file transfer protocol (FTP) is used to transfer files as second client-server (paragraph [0045]) as further claimed in claim 1. As acknowledged by the office action that Vincent does not disclose first-client server communicates with system server using interversion protocol. As disclosed in the applicant's specification that inverversion protocol includes a format for analyzing a received message, for example, an inquiry in network

communication. However, Zhang discloses an inter-server protocol that is used to allow the servers to communicate with one another. The formats contains a header includes a Message Length that indicates the length of the entire message. This field is used to verify if a complete message is read in an actual call. A Version Number indicates the application message version. A Message Category indicates which of the different types of messages that may be carried between processors, such as OAM, session activation or deactivation messages, etc. A Message Type includes a message type definition file. A Message ID is used to correlate request and response within an application. This field is also used as a sequence number. A payload which follows the header, contains data specific to the message type, see (col. 4, lines 28-45). Further, the rejection points out that the motivation to "first-client server communicate with system server using interversion protocol" would be to reduce the downtime during the update procedure. Thus, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established. Moreover, it is believed that either Zhang or Harrow remedies the deficiency of Vincent as compared with claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Publication No. 2004/0015953 to Vincent (hereinafter, Vincent) in view of US Patent No. 7,228,539 to Zhang et al. (hereinafter, Zhang).

Per claim 1:

Vincent discloses:

- A method of software upgrade control for a system server and a sub-network including a plurality of user terminals, the method comprising the steps of:
- (a) providing said system server with a plurality of updated software versions (FIG. 6, elements 160-162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to provide updated software components");
- (b) selecting one of said plurality of user terminals to obtain a selected user terminal and plurality of unselected user terminals (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) said selected user terminal having a first client-server structure (paragraph [0042] "...a number of computers... communication with network..." FIG. 2, element user computer 110 and 114a-114c) and a second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164), said second client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in a fi
- said second client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in a file transfer protocol (paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same computer"),
- (c) requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer") that are

absent in said selected user terminals by said first client-server structure (paragraph [0014] "The computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified network location or locations");

- (d) determining from the list which of said plurality of unselected user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)" and paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines (i.e., from the component list) which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained") in step (b) include any of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions), the user computer performs acts to determine network locations from which it can download the required software components");
- (e) receiving from of said plurality of unselected user terminals said absent updated software versions determined in step (d) to have been included in said plurality of unselected user terminals by said second client-server structure (paragraph [0064] "User computer 110 examines the update table received from software server 160 and... extracts the locations of the needed components, which include components D and E (i.e., the required components not yet installed at user computer 110) and component B (i.e., the component having a required version not yet installed at user computer 110)");
- (f) receiving from said system server those of said absent updated software versions determined (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164") in step (d) to have not been included in said unselected ones

Art Unit: 2191

of said plurality of user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)"); and

(g) upgrading said selected user terminal with said received absent updated software versions (paragraph [0065] "The user computer 110 installs and configures the required software components when the required software components are received").

Vincent does not explicitly disclose wherein said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an *interversion protocol*.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system wherein said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an *interversion protocol* (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the messages, or the inter-server communication protocol, provided for in each version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an interversion protocol as taught by Zhang. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to have said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an interversion protocol to provide to reduce the downtime during the update procedure as suggested by Zhang (col. 2, lines 28-37).

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of broadcasting an inquiry in said subnetwork (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network (i.e., sub-network)") in determining whether said plurality of unselected user terminals include any of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained").

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

3. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of registering at said system server after requesting from said system server a list of said plurality of updated software versions that are absent in said selected user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

Although, Vincent discloses updating software on a user computer from network locations or network computers. Vincent is silent on registering updated software. However, the feature of registering the updated software deemed to be inherent to Vincent system. Since Vincent discloses downloading the requested software updates by the user computer from network locations (paragraph [0063]). Vincent's system would be in inoperative if the system does not register the updated software.

Page 8

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

4. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of providing an agent in said selected user

terminal in requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph [0014] "The

computer requests the software components not already installed on the computer from the identified

network location or locations") Note that an agent which requests the absent software is inherent to

Vincent, since Vincent's system getting the component not installed on user computer form the

network locations.

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

5. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: receiving an inquiry broadcast from

said plurality of unselected user terminals by said first client-server structure (paragraph [0063]

"the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or

advertising across computer network (Note that the inquiry is made to unselected terminal to determine

the available components see paragraph [0051]"); and transmitting one of said updated software

versions in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "...components A, B, C and E are

available from software server 160... component D is available from another computer associated with

computer network 116, namely, computer 164. User computer 110 examines the update table received

from software server 160 and extracts the locations of the needed components").

Application/Control Number: 10/600,700 Page 9

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

7. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: providing a client in said first client-

server structure for requesting said list of said absent updated software versions (paragraph

[0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component

list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information

identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer").

Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

8. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server

structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first server for receiving an inquiry

broadcast by said plurality of unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063] "the network location of

the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer

network"); and providing said second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164)

with a second server for transmitting one of said plurality of updated software versions to one of

said unselected user terminals in response to said inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user

computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from

software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer

164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals).

Per claim 9:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

9. The method of claim 1 further comprising the steps of: providing said first client-server

structure (FIG. 6, element user computer 110) with a first client for requesting one of said absent

updated software versions from said plurality of unselected user terminals (paragraph [0063]

"Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained

(i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components"); and providing

said second client-server structure (FIG. 6, elements 160, 162, 164) with a second client for

requesting one of said absent updated software versions from said system server (paragraph

[0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components

B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from

the computer 164").

Per claim 10:

Vincent discloses:

A software upgrade control system comprising:

a system server (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);

a plurality of updated software versions provided at said system server (FIG. 6, elements 160-

162, and 164 and paragraph [0055] "server computers 160, 162 and 164 are used to provide updated

software components");

a sub-network (FIG. 2) including a first user terminal (FIG. 2, element 110) and a second user

terminal (FIG.2, element 114), each of the first user terminal and the second user terminal

including a first client-server structure and a second client-server structure (FIG. 3, element 110a and 110b);

said second client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in a file transfer protocol (paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same computer"); a client provided in said first client-server structure of said first user terminal for requesting from said system server a list of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer") and also for broadcasting an inquiry to the second user terminal (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") to determine whether the second user terminal has at least one of said updated software versions that are absent in said first user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals); a first server provided in said first client-server structure of said second user terminal for receiving the inquiry broadcast by the first user terminal (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components");

a second server provided in said second client-server structure of said second user terminal for transmitting one of said updated software versions to said first user terminal in response to said

Art Unit: 2191

inquiry broadcast (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals);

a first client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said second user terminal (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164"); and

a second client provided in said second client-server structure of said first user terminal for receiving one of said absent updated software versions from said system server (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164").

Vincent does not explicitly disclose wherein said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an *interversion protocol*.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system wherein said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each other in an *interversion protocol* (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the messages, or the inter-server communication protocol, provided for in each version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said first client-server structure and

said system server communicate with each other in an interversion protocol as taught by Zhang.

Page 13

The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be

motivated to have said first client-server structure and said system server communicate with each

other in an interversion protocol to provide to reduce the downtime during the undate procedure

as suggested by Zhang (col. 2, lines 28-37).

Per claim 11:

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent provided in said selected user terminal in

requesting said list of said absent updated software versions. The limitations in the claims are

similar to those in claim 4, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the

rejection of claim 4.

Per claim 13:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

13. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports the file transfer protocol

(paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's

computer, a file from another process running on the same computer").

Per claim 14:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

14. The system of claim 10 wherein said system server supports the file transfer assistant

protocol (paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on

another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same computer").

Per claim 15:

15. The system of claim 10 further comprising an agent in each of said user terminals for data communication between said first client-server structure and said second client-server structure. The limitations in the claims are similar to those in claim 4, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4.

Per claim 16:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

16. The system of claim 10 further comprising a local area network over which said system server communicates with each of said user terminals (paragraph [0040] "FIG. 1 include a local area network (LAN) 51 and a wide area network (WAN)").

Per claim 17:

Vincent discloses:

- 17. A software upgrade control system, comprising:
- a system server that provides updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164);
- a plurality of user terminals grouped to form a sub-network (FIG. 2);

each of said user terminals having a first client-server structure and a second client-server structure, and an agent, wherein said first client-server structure requests from said system server a list of updated software versions that are absent (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives

Art Unit: 2191

data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer"), broadcasts in said sub-network an inquiry (paragraph [0063] "the network location of the update table may be found in other ways, such as through broadcasting or advertising across computer network") as to whether any other user terminals have any updated software versions absent therein, receives an inquiry broadcasted by said other user terminals (paragraph [0061] "User computer... receives data file... opens the data file and accesses the required component list included... comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer");

said second client-server structure transmits one of said updated software versions to one of said other user terminals (paragraph [0064] "The user computer 110 then requests the required versions (i.e., absent) of software components B and E from software server 160 and the required version (i.e., absent) of software component D from the computer 164" i.e., the required versions are transmitted to user terminals), receives an absent updated software version from one of said other user terminals having said absent updated software version, receive an absent updated software version from said system server if no other user terminals has said absent updated software version (paragraph [0063] "Once user computer 110 determines which software components and versions thereof are to be obtained (i.e., absent updated software versions)... download the required software components"); and

communicates with said system severs in a file transfer protocol (paragraph [0045] "a file from a File Transfer Protocol ("FTP") server, a shared file on another user's computer, a file from another process running on the same computer"); and

Art Unit: 2191

said agent handles data communication between said first and second client-server structures (Vincent discloses updating software in networking environment, a communication agent must be present in Vincent system to perform the software update remotely. Therefore, a communication agent would be inherent to Vincent system).

Vincent does not explicitly disclose communicate with said system server in an *interversion* protocol.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system communicate with said system server in an *interversion protocol* (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the messages, or the inter-server communication protocol, provided for in each version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of communicate with said system server in an interversion protocol as taught by Zhang. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to communicate with said system server in an interversion protocol to provide to reduce the downtime during the update procedure as suggested by Zhang (col. 2, lines 28-37).

Claims 21 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 13 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 13, above, as noted above.

Per claim 22:

The rejection of claim 17 is incorporated and further. Vincent discloses:

a first storage for storing said updated software versions (FIG. 6, element 160, 162, 164).

Per claim 23:

The rejection of claim 22 is incorporated and further. Vincent discloses:

a second storage for storing said updated software versions that are unzipped (paragraph [0061] "comparing the component information and the version information with information identifying the software components and versions thereof currently installed at user computer"). Note that Vincent compares the installed versions with the updated version in order to upgrade the software. In order for to compare the installed software versions the upgrade version must be unzipped.

Per claim 24:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent discloses:

24. The method of claim 1, wherein said absent updated software versions determined in step(d) to have not been included in said plurality of unselected user terminals are received only after receiving from said plurality of unselected user terminals those of said absent updated software versions determined in step (d) to have been included in said plurality of unselected user terminals (paragraph [0051] "The components that need to be updated may be located at one computer, typically a server, on the computer network, or they may be located at various and different computers (i.e., computers are not selected)").

Art Unit: 2191

 Claims 6 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent in view of US Publication No. 2003/0074403 to Harrow et al. (hereinafter, Harrow).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose wherein said selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval.

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval (paragraph [0036] "The directory server 402 may also have an expiration timeout feature. That is, after say 10 minutes, the directory server 402 may direct a client to retrieve a copy of the file from the web server 422").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval as taught by Harrow into the method of updating software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to selected user terminal is upgraded within a predetermined time interval to provide the current updates to client as suggested by Harrow (paragraph [0036]).

Per claim 25:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose, wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol.

Art Unit: 2191

However, Harrow discloses in an analogous computer system wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol (paragraph [0026] "The communication 314 between Client A 304-A and Client D 304-D is a peer-to-peer communication").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of wherein said second user terminal transmits said updated software versions to said first user terminal using a P2P protocol as taught by Harrow into the method of updating software to user terminals as taught by Vincent. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use P2P protocol in upgrading software version of user terminal to provide a peer-to-peer software upgrading to lower the network traffic as suggested by Harrow (paragraph [0004]).

 Claims 12 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent in view of US Patent No. 7.228,539 to Zhang et al. (hereinafter, Zhang).

Per claim 12:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, Vincent does not explicitly disclose system server supports an interversion protocol.

However, Zhang discloses in a computer system server support an interversion protocol (col. 5, lines 1-3 "the conversion rules relate to differences in the format of the messages, or the interserver communication protocol, provided for in each version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of supporting an interversion protocol as

Art Unit: 2191

taught by Zhang. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to support an interversion protocol to provide to reduce the downtime during the update procedure as suggested by Zhang (col. 2, lines 28-37).

Claim 20 are the system claim corresponding to system claims 12 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 12, above, as noted above.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-3732.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of

Art Unit: 2191

a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group recentionist: 571-272-2100.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish Rampuria Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191 /Wei Y Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191